

TOWN & COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990 (AS AMENDED)

**PLANNING APPEAL
BY
WAIN HOMES (NORTH WEST) LIMITED**

**AGAINST THE REFUSAL OF FULL PLANNING PERMISSION
BY HIGH PEAK BOROUGH COUNCIL
FOR A RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT OF LAND AT DINTING VALE,
DINTING, GLOSSOP, DERBYSHIRE**

**PROOF OF EVIDENCE (AIR QUALITY) - SUMMARY
FOR
ELIZABETH WHITTALL**

20/05/2024

Contents

1. Introduction	3
2. Review of and responses to matters raised by third parties	4
3. Conclusion	5

1. Introduction

- 1.1 My name is Elizabeth Whittall. I am a Senior Environmental Consultant working at Royal HaskoningDHV and have worked in the field for nearly 7 years. My specialism is in air quality management, emissions impact assessment and atmospheric dispersion modelling. Full details of my qualifications and experience are set out in Section 2 of my main proof of evidence.
- 1.2 I have been commissioned by Wain Homes (North West) Ltd to provide expert evidence on air quality on their behalf at this Inquiry.
- 1.3 My main Proof of Evidence focuses on the objections raised by third parties.

2. Review of and responses to matters raised by third parties

2.1 In my proof, I have categorised the concerns raised by third parties and provided a response to each. I have shown (with the paragraph numbers below relating to section of my main proof) that the proposed development is acceptable in air quality terms.

- The proposed development does not result in unacceptable increases in air pollutants and the air quality Objectives will not be exceeded at locations of relevant exposure within Dinting Vale Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) (Paragraphs 4.3 to 4.21).
- The proposed development does not result in unacceptable increases in air pollutants and the air quality Objectives will not be exceeded at the Dinting Church of England Primary School (Paragraphs 4.22 to 4.23).
- The air quality assessment has appropriately considered the impacts of congestion along the A57 on pollution within the study area (Paragraphs 4.24 to 4.26).
- The air quality assessment has relied upon appropriate air quality monitoring data (Paragraphs 4.27 to 4.30).
- The proposed development does not require mitigation to reduce the impact of the development to a suitable level but, nevertheless, includes mitigation to further reduce the impact and to improve air quality in the local area (Paragraph 4.31).

3. Conclusion

- 3.1 On the basis of the evidence I have set out, I conclude the proposed development is in accordance with the relevant air quality legislation and planning guidance.